

# MACROECONOMETRÍA

Segundo Cuatrimestre (curso 2006/07), Depto. de Economía, UC3M

## Excercise set 5

**Question 1.** Consider the model

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \mathbf{WN}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma^2), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 5/6 \\ 6/7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b & 1/4 \\ 1/2 & 3/4 \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\epsilon_t = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{x,t} \\ \epsilon_{z,t} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{0} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3/8 & 0 \\ 0 & 9/10 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- For which values of  $b \geq 0$  is (1) stationary?
- Suppose now that  $b = 2/5$ . What are the characteristic roots of  $\mathbf{B}_1$ . Is (1) stationary?
- What are the unconditional means of  $x_t$  and  $z_t$ ?
- Suppose  $Var(z_t) = 13.023$  and  $Cov(y_t, z_t) = 5.374$ . What are the values of  $Var(y_t)$  and  $Corr(y_t, z_t)$ ?

**Question 2.** Consider the model

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \mathbf{WN}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma^2), \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c}_t = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/3 \\ 1/4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/4 & 2/3 & 1/2 \\ 2/5 & 1/7 & 1/5 \\ 1/6 & 1/3 & 2/7 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- The characteristic roots of  $\mathbf{B}_1$  are 0.954, -0.296 and 0.020. Is (2) stable? What are the solutions of  $|\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{B}_1 L| = 0$ ?
- What are the unconditional means of  $x_t$ ,  $y_t$  and  $z_t$ ?
- Write (2) in companion form.

**Question 3.** Consider the structural model

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{B}_0 \mathbf{y}_t + \mathbf{B}_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \mathbf{e}_t, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ z_t \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{12.0} \\ b_{21.0} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11.1} & b_{12.1} \\ b_{21.1} & b_{22.1} \end{bmatrix},$$

and where  $\mathbf{e}_t$  is an error vector.

a) What is the reduced form of (3)?

b) The reduced form of (3) can be written as

$$\mathbf{y}_t = \mathbf{D}_1 \mathbf{y}_{t-1} + \mathbf{u}_t. \quad (4)$$

Suppose we obtain the estimates

$$\hat{\mathbf{D}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/3 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 2/3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

by means of OLS estimation. Considering these estimates only (that is, not caring about inference), do the estimates suggest that  $z_t$  Granger-causes  $x_t$  and vice-versa?

c) Given the estimates  $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_1$  the two parameters in  $\mathbf{B}_0$  and the four parameters in  $\mathbf{B}_1$  are unidentified unless we impose identifying restrictions. Suppose now that common sense, economic theory, both, or whatever that may inform your beliefs, suggests that  $b_{12.0} = 0$ , that is, that  $z_t$  has no contemporaneous impact on  $x_t$ . Are any parameters (in addition to  $b_{12.0}$ ) in  $\mathbf{B}_0$  and  $\mathbf{B}_1$  identified? If so, what are their values? Does  $x_t$  Granger-cause  $z_t$  and vice-versa (again, do not care about inference)?